

DEVELOPING TECHNICAL THINKING IN CHILDREN USING THE CLAX
METHODOLOGY

Adilova Naila Bakhriiddin kizi

A doctoral student at the Andijan State Pedagogical Institute.

Akramjon Matkarimov

acting professor.

<https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.20293261>

Abstract: This article examines the theoretical and practical aspects of developing technical thinking in children through the CLAX (Creative Learning and Application eXperience) methodology. The study analyzes the cognitive mechanisms underlying technical reasoning, evaluates the effectiveness of structured CLAX-based activities in educational settings, and explores how this approach enhances problem-solving abilities, spatial reasoning, and logical analysis in learners aged 7–14. The findings suggest that systematic implementation of the CLAX methodology significantly improves children's capacity for technical thought, fosters creativity, and cultivates an interest in engineering and technology-related disciplines. The article also discusses the pedagogical conditions necessary for successful integration of CLAX techniques into primary and secondary education curricula.

Keywords: CLAX methodology, technical thinking, children, cognitive development, problem-solving, spatial reasoning, logical analysis, primary education, engineering pedagogy, creative learning.

INTRODUCTION

In the twenty-first century, the ability to think technically has become one of the most sought-after competencies across a wide range of professions and daily life situations. Technical thinking encompasses the capacity to analyze complex systems, identify cause-and-effect relationships, apply logical reasoning to practical problems, and creatively devise solutions using available resources. As technological advancements continue to reshape society, educational systems worldwide are increasingly recognizing the need to cultivate these competencies from an early age.

The formation of technical thinking in children is not a spontaneous process; rather, it requires deliberate pedagogical intervention through well-designed methodologies that engage learners actively and meaningfully. Traditional instructional approaches that rely primarily on passive reception of information have proven insufficient for developing the higher-order cognitive skills associated with technical reasoning. Consequently, researchers and educators have turned their attention to constructivist, experiential, and problem-based learning frameworks that place the child at the center of the learning process.

Among the emerging pedagogical approaches designed to address this need, the CLAX methodology — an acronym for Creative Learning and Application eXperience — has attracted considerable scholarly attention. Originally developed within the framework of activity-based learning theory, CLAX integrates structured creative tasks, real-world application challenges, and reflective analysis cycles to stimulate technical cognition in young learners. The methodology draws on insights from developmental psychology, cognitive science, and



engineering education to create a coherent system of activities that progressively build technical competence.

The relevance of studying the CLAX methodology in the context of children's technical thinking development is underscored by several converging factors. First, the global demand for STEM (Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics) literacy has intensified, with educational policy frameworks in numerous countries emphasizing the importance of introducing technical concepts at the primary school level. Second, neuropsychological research indicates that the formative years of childhood represent a particularly sensitive period for the development of spatial-technical reasoning, making early intervention both timely and impactful. Third, existing pedagogical practices often lack a systematic, theoretically grounded approach to nurturing technical thought, leaving a significant gap that the CLAX methodology is well positioned to fill.

The present article aims to contribute to this growing body of knowledge by examining the theoretical foundations of technical thinking development in children, describing the core principles and structural components of the CLAX methodology, analyzing the pedagogical conditions that facilitate its successful implementation, and discussing the observed outcomes of CLAX-based instruction. The study addresses the following central research questions: What cognitive processes underlie the development of technical thinking in children? How does the CLAX methodology systematically engage these processes? What evidence exists regarding the effectiveness of CLAX-based activities in fostering technical reasoning skills?

By addressing these questions, the article seeks to provide educators, curriculum designers, and educational researchers with a theoretically informed and practically applicable understanding of how the CLAX methodology can be leveraged to develop technical thinking in the next generation of learners.

METHODOLOGY

The present study employs a mixed theoretical-empirical approach, combining a systematic review of scholarly literature with an analysis of observational data collected during CLAX-based instructional sessions. The methodological framework is grounded in the principles of educational research, with particular attention to the internal validity of findings and their applicability across diverse educational contexts.

The theoretical component of the study involved a comprehensive review of peer-reviewed publications, monographs, and educational standards related to technical thinking development, cognitive psychology, and activity-based pedagogy. Sources were selected based on their relevance to the study's central questions, their scholarly rigor, and their recency, with priority given to works published within the last two decades. Key theoretical frameworks informing the analysis include Vygotsky's zone of proximal development, Piaget's theory of cognitive stages, and the principles of design-based learning articulated by contemporary engineering education scholars.

The following methods were employed throughout the investigation:

- systematic analysis of theoretical and empirical literature on technical thinking and constructivist pedagogy
- structural decomposition of the CLAX methodology into its constituent components and procedural stages
- comparative analysis of CLAX-based instructional outcomes against baseline measurements of children's technical reasoning skills



- qualitative observation of children's engagement, problem-solving behaviors, and collaborative interactions during CLAX activities
- content analysis of children's project artifacts and reflective reports produced within the CLAX framework
- theoretical generalization of findings to derive pedagogical recommendations

The empirical component of the study drew on observations conducted in several general education schools where CLAX-based programs had been introduced as part of technology and design courses for learners in grades 2 through 7. Observations were conducted over a period spanning two academic semesters, allowing for longitudinal tracking of students' progress in technical reasoning tasks. Data were collected using structured observation protocols, teacher reflective journals, and analysis of student-produced materials.

Ethical considerations were observed throughout the research process. Parental consent was obtained for all participants, and data were anonymized prior to analysis. The study adhered to the principles of educational research ethics as outlined by the relevant national and international guidelines.

RESULTS

The analysis of literature and observational data yielded a set of coherent and mutually reinforcing findings regarding the impact of the CLAX methodology on the development of technical thinking in children. The results are organized according to the primary dimensions of technical cognition targeted by the methodology: spatial reasoning, logical-analytical thinking, creative problem-solving, and collaborative technical communication.

With respect to spatial reasoning, children who participated in CLAX-based activities demonstrated measurable improvements in their ability to mentally manipulate two-dimensional and three-dimensional objects, interpret technical drawings, and construct physical models from schematic representations. These gains were particularly pronounced among learners in the 9–11 age group, consistent with developmental research suggesting that this period represents a critical window for the consolidation of spatial-technical reasoning abilities.

In the domain of logical-analytical thinking, CLAX activities involving sequential task decomposition — wherein learners were required to break complex technical problems into discrete, manageable sub-tasks — produced significant improvements in children's ability to reason systematically and identify logical dependencies between problem components. Children who completed multiple CLAX cycles showed greater persistence in the face of technical challenges and demonstrated more sophisticated debugging strategies when initial solutions proved ineffective.

The following key outcomes were identified as a result of the analysis:

- children exposed to CLAX-based instruction exhibited a statistically notable increase in technical problem-solving accuracy compared to peers in conventional instructional settings
- creative ideation scores, as measured by the originality and functional viability of proposed technical solutions, improved significantly over the course of the CLAX program
- children's ability to articulate technical reasoning processes in verbal and written form developed markedly, suggesting enhanced metacognitive awareness of their own thinking strategies
- collaborative task completion rates improved, indicating that CLAX activities fostered productive technical communication and distributed problem-solving skills



— interest in technology and engineering-related activities increased among participants, as reported through self-assessment instruments administered at the beginning and end of the program

— transfer of technical reasoning skills to non-CLAX contexts was observed, suggesting that the methodology cultivates generalizable cognitive competencies rather than narrow task-specific skills

The qualitative analysis of student artifacts revealed a progressive sophistication in technical design across successive CLAX cycles. Early-stage projects typically reflected functional but rudimentary constructions, while later-stage artifacts demonstrated more systematic planning, iterative refinement, and attention to efficiency and elegance of design. This developmental trajectory aligns with the theoretical predictions derived from the constructivist and design-thinking frameworks underpinning the CLAX methodology.

Teacher observations further corroborated the quantitative and artifact-based findings. Educators reported that children engaged in CLAX activities exhibited heightened intrinsic motivation, greater tolerance for ambiguity, and increased willingness to revise and improve their technical solutions. These behavioral indicators are consistent with the development of a growth mindset orientation toward technical challenges — a disposition considered foundational to sustained engagement with engineering and technology disciplines.

DISCUSSION

The results of the present study invite a broader discussion of the theoretical and practical implications of implementing the CLAX methodology as a vehicle for technical thinking development in children. Several points of particular significance merit extended consideration.

First, the observed improvements across all dimensions of technical cognition examined in this study lend empirical support to the theoretical claim that structured, activity-based methodologies are more effective than passive instructional approaches in cultivating technical reasoning. The CLAX methodology's emphasis on the full creative-application-reflection cycle appears to engage the cognitive processes most directly associated with technical thinking, including analogical reasoning, hypothesis generation, systematic testing, and adaptive revision. This finding resonates with broader research on the efficacy of project-based and design-based learning approaches in STEM education.

Second, the age-differentiated pattern of outcomes observed in the study raises important questions about developmental readiness and the optimal sequencing of CLAX activities across grade levels. The particularly strong gains recorded among 9–11-year-old learners suggest that this developmental window may represent the most productive period for intensive engagement with technical thinking curricula. However, the positive outcomes observed even among younger participants indicate that appropriately scaffolded CLAX activities can yield meaningful developmental benefits at earlier ages, provided that task complexity is calibrated to match learners' cognitive capacities.

The following aspects emerged as central during the discussion:

— the structured cyclical nature of CLAX activities — encompassing ideation, construction, testing, and reflection — mirrors the cognitive processes inherent in expert technical reasoning, thereby providing children with an authentic simulation of professional engineering thought

— the social dimension of CLAX tasks, which consistently involve collaborative problem-solving and peer feedback, fosters the communicative and coordinative competencies essential for technical work in real-world professional contexts



— the iterative design philosophy embedded in the CLAX methodology cultivates resilience and adaptive thinking by normalizing failure as a productive stage of the problem-solving process rather than as a terminal outcome

— the integration of cross-curricular knowledge demands within CLAX tasks — drawing simultaneously on mathematics, science, language arts, and spatial reasoning — supports the development of integrative thinking capacities that are characteristic of advanced technical cognition

Third, the study's findings have significant implications for curriculum design and teacher preparation. The effective implementation of the CLAX methodology requires educators who possess not only content knowledge in technical domains but also deep pedagogical competence in facilitating open-ended, inquiry-driven learning processes. The teacher's role within the CLAX framework is fundamentally facilitative rather than directive, requiring the capacity to pose productive questions, monitor cognitive engagement, provide targeted scaffolding, and orchestrate reflective discussions that deepen children's understanding of their own technical reasoning processes.

It is also important to acknowledge the limitations of the present study. The observational data on which the empirical component of the analysis is based was collected in a relatively limited number of educational settings, and the generalizability of specific quantitative findings to broader populations requires further investigation through large-scale, randomized controlled studies. Additionally, the long-term sustainability of the cognitive gains associated with CLAX-based instruction merits longitudinal follow-up research extending beyond the two-semester observation window of the present study.

Notwithstanding these limitations, the convergent evidence from theoretical analysis and empirical observation presented in this article provides a compelling foundation for recommending the CLAX methodology as a valuable and theoretically coherent approach to developing technical thinking in children within contemporary educational contexts.

CONCLUSION

The present article has examined the theoretical foundations and practical implications of the CLAX methodology as an instrument for developing technical thinking in children. Drawing on a synthesis of constructivist learning theory, cognitive developmental psychology, and engineering education research, the study has demonstrated that the CLAX methodology provides a coherent and empirically supported framework for cultivating the spatial, logical, creative, and communicative dimensions of technical cognition in learners at the primary and lower secondary levels.

The analysis has shown that children who engage in structured CLAX-based activities develop not only improved technical problem-solving skills but also broader metacognitive competencies, enhanced collaborative capacities, and a more positive orientation toward engineering and technology disciplines. These outcomes are attributable to the methodology's distinctive integration of creative ideation, hands-on construction, systematic testing, and reflective analysis within a cyclical instructional framework that closely mirrors authentic technical reasoning processes.

Based on the findings of the study, the following principal conclusions may be drawn:

— the CLAX methodology effectively engages the core cognitive mechanisms underlying technical thinking, including spatial reasoning, logical analysis, hypothesis generation, and adaptive problem-solving



- systematic implementation of CLAX-based activities produces measurable improvements in children's technical reasoning capacities across multiple cognitive dimensions
- the methodology's emphasis on iterative design and productive failure cultivates resilience and a growth mindset orientation that are essential for sustained engagement with technical challenges
- collaborative dimensions of CLAX tasks foster the communicative and coordinative competencies necessary for technical work in team-based professional settings
- the integration of cross-disciplinary knowledge demands within CLAX activities supports the development of integrative thinking capacities characteristic of advanced technical cognition
- effective implementation of the CLAX methodology requires well-prepared teachers who are competent in facilitating inquiry-driven, student-centered learning processes

The findings of the present study underscore the importance of introducing technically oriented, activity-based pedagogical approaches in the early years of formal education. Given the critical role of technical thinking in navigating an increasingly technology-driven world, investment in methodologies such as CLAX represents a meaningful contribution to the preparation of future generations for the cognitive demands of contemporary professional and civic life.

Future research should expand the empirical base of CLAX effectiveness studies through large-scale longitudinal investigations, examine the methodology's applicability across diverse cultural and socioeconomic educational contexts, and explore the potential of digital and hybrid CLAX formats in the context of technology-enhanced learning environments. Additionally, the development of standardized assessment instruments specifically designed to measure the multi-dimensional technical thinking outcomes targeted by the CLAX methodology would significantly advance the field's capacity to evaluate and refine this promising pedagogical approach.

In conclusion, the CLAX methodology represents a theoretically grounded, practically effective, and educationally significant framework for developing technical thinking in children. Its systematic integration into primary and secondary education curricula holds substantial promise for equipping the next generation with the cognitive tools required to thrive in a world defined by technological complexity and the constant imperative for creative, analytically rigorous problem-solving.

REFERENCES

1. Anderson, L. W., & Krathwohl, D. R. (2001). *A Taxonomy for Learning, Teaching, and Assessing: A Revision of Bloom's Taxonomy of Educational Objectives*. New York: Longman.
2. Bransford, J. D., Brown, A. L., & Cocking, R. R. (Eds.). (2000). *How People Learn: Brain, Mind, Experience, and School*. Washington, D.C.: National Academy Press.
3. Christensen, K. S., & Schunn, C. D. (2009). The relationship of analogical distance to analogical function and preinventive structure. *Memory & Cognition*, 37(1), 29–38.
4. Cross, N. (2011). *Design Thinking: Understanding How Designers Think and Work*. Oxford: Berg Publishers.
5. Dym, C. L., Agogino, A. M., Eris, O., Frey, D. D., & Leifer, L. J. (2005). Engineering design thinking, teaching, and learning. *Journal of Engineering Education*, 94(1), 103–120.
6. English, L. D. (2016). STEM education K-12: Perspectives on integration. *International Journal of STEM Education*, 3(1), 1–8.



7. Harel, I., & Papert, S. (Eds.). (1991). *Constructionism*. Norwood, NJ: Ablex Publishing.
8. Hmelo-Silver, C. E. (2004). Problem-based learning: What and how do students learn? *Educational Psychology Review*, 16(3), 235–266.
9. Kolb, D. A. (1984). *Experiential Learning: Experience as the Source of Learning and Development*. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall.
10. Linn, M. C., & Petersen, A. C. (1985). Emergence and characterization of sex differences in spatial ability: A meta-analysis. *Child Development*, 56(6), 1479–1498.
11. Mayer, R. E. (2009). *Multimedia Learning* (2nd ed.). New York: Cambridge University Press.
12. National Academy of Engineering. (2008). *Changing the Conversation: Messages for Improving Public Understanding of Engineering*. Washington, D.C.: National Academies Press.

