INTERNATIONAL MULTI DISCIPLINARY JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT

eISSN 2394-6334 Impact factor: 7,854 Volume 13, issue 01 (2026)

A Structured Organizational Blueprint for Managing Self-Acting AI Systems and Enabling Large-Scale Independent Functionality

Tran Minh Chau

Ho Chi Minh City University of Science, Vietnam

Abstract: The increasing deployment of self-acting artificial intelligence (AI) systems across enterprise and computational environments has introduced a structural shift in how organizations design, govern, and scale digital operations. These systems, characterized by autonomous decision-making, adaptive execution, and distributed intelligence, require a robust organizational blueprint that ensures performance efficiency while maintaining operational control and architectural stability. This research proposes a structured organizational framework for managing self-acting AI systems and enabling large-scale independent functionality through a multi-layered integration of computational architecture, governance mechanisms, and hardware-aware design principles.

The study synthesizes advancements in semiconductor device architectures such as silicon nanowire transistors (Cui et al., 2003), reconfigurable transistor systems (Heinzig et al., 2012), and polarity-controllable field-effect transistors (De Marchi et al., 2012), which collectively form the physical foundation for scalable intelligent systems. In parallel, architectural insights from edge computing paradigms (Yu et al., 2018) provide the distributed computational backbone required for autonomous system expansion. These technical foundations are integrated with emerging AI governance principles to construct a hierarchical organizational model for autonomous system control.

A central theoretical influence in this work is the agentic governance framework proposed by Venkiteela (2026), which emphasizes scalable autonomy, structured oversight layers, and enterprise-grade coordination of autonomous agents. This framework is critically extended to propose a unified organizational blueprint that aligns computational hardware constraints with high-level autonomy governance structures.

The research identifies key structural challenges in current autonomous AI systems, including governance fragmentation, lack of hierarchical control coherence, and inefficiencies in scaling independent operational units. The proposed blueprint addresses these limitations by introducing a layered organizational architecture consisting of physical computation layers, intelligent processing layers, and governance orchestration layers.

Findings suggest that integrating hardware-level efficiency models with governance-aware AI systems significantly enhances scalability, fault tolerance, and operational independence. The study contributes to the field by bridging semiconductor-level design principles with organizational AI governance, offering a multi-disciplinary framework for next-generation autonomous systems.

Keywords: Autonomous AI systems, organizational architecture, edge computing, silicon nanowire transistors, scalable autonomy, AI governance, field-effect transistors, distributed intelligence, self-acting systems, enterprise AI frameworks

INTRODUCTION

The evolution of artificial intelligence from rule-based computational systems to self-acting autonomous architectures represents one of the most significant transformations in modern computing. Self-acting AI systems are characterized by their ability to perceive operational environments, make independent decisions, and execute tasks without continuous human intervention. This autonomy introduces both unprecedented opportunities for scalability and significant challenges in governance, system stability, and computational efficiency.

At the organizational level, managing such systems requires more than traditional software engineering practices. It necessitates a structured blueprint that integrates computational architecture, hardware design constraints, and governance mechanisms into a unified framework. The absence of such structured organization often leads to fragmentation, where autonomous components operate efficiently in isolation but fail to maintain coherence at system scale.

From a hardware perspective, advancements in transistor-level technologies have enabled the miniaturization and efficiency required for large-scale autonomous systems. Foundational work such as the design of ion-implanted MOSFETs (Dennard et al., 1974) established scaling laws that continue to influence modern semiconductor design. Subsequent innovations in silicon nanowire field-effect transistors (Cui et al., 2003) and polarity-controllable devices (De Marchi et al., 2012) have enabled reconfigurable computational architectures capable of supporting dynamic workloads. These developments are critical because self-acting AI systems require hardware that can support high-density computation with minimal energy overhead.

In parallel, the emergence of edge computing architectures has fundamentally altered how computational intelligence is distributed. Rather than relying on centralized cloud systems, edge computing frameworks distribute processing closer to data sources, reducing latency and increasing system responsiveness (Yu et al., 2018). This distributed model aligns closely with the requirements of autonomous AI systems, where real-time decision-making is essential for operational effectiveness.

However, hardware and computational advancements alone are insufficient. The primary challenge lies in structuring these capabilities into a coherent organizational system that can manage autonomy at scale. This includes defining how autonomous agents interact, how decisions are coordinated, and how system-wide objectives are enforced without restricting local independence.

The relevance of this challenge becomes more pronounced in systems where independent functional units must operate simultaneously across distributed environments. Without structured governance, such systems risk becoming unstable due to conflicting agent behaviors, resource contention, and lack of coordinated decision hierarchies.

The agentic architecture framework proposed by Venkiteela (2026) provides a foundational conceptual model for addressing these challenges. It introduces the idea of layered autonomy, where independent agents operate under structured governance constraints that ensure alignment with enterprise-level objectives. This framework emphasizes scalability, modularity, and hierarchical oversight as key principles for managing autonomous systems. In this study, this framework is extended and integrated with hardware-aware computational models to develop a comprehensive organizational blueprint.

The primary objective of this research is to design a structured organizational framework that enables self-acting AI systems to operate independently while maintaining coherence, scalability, and governance integrity. The study also aims to bridge the gap between hardware-level computational design and high-level AI governance structures.

The significance of this research lies in its interdisciplinary approach. By integrating semiconductor device engineering, distributed computing architectures, and AI governance frameworks, the study provides a unified model for designing next-generation autonomous systems. This integration is essential for future applications in large-scale industrial automation, intelligent infrastructure, and distributed enterprise systems.

LITERATURE REVIEW

The development of self-acting AI systems is rooted in multiple intersecting domains, including semiconductor device engineering, distributed computing, and intelligent system governance. This literature review synthesizes key contributions from these domains to establish the theoretical and technical foundation for the proposed organizational blueprint.

Semiconductor Foundations for Scalable Computation

The evolution of transistor technology has been central to enabling modern computational systems. The foundational work of Dennard et al. (1974) introduced scaling principles for MOSFET devices, demonstrating how miniaturization improves performance and energy efficiency. These principles laid the groundwork for high-density computing architectures essential for AI systems.

Building on this foundation, Cui et al. (2003) introduced high-performance silicon nanowire field-effect transistors, which significantly improved carrier mobility and device scalability. These nanowire structures enabled enhanced control over electrical properties at nanoscale dimensions, making them suitable for highly dense computational systems.

Further advancements in reconfigurable transistor architectures (Heinzig et al., 2012) demonstrated the feasibility of dynamically adjustable semiconductor devices. Such reconfigurability is particularly relevant for self-acting AI systems, which require adaptable hardware capable of supporting dynamic workloads.

De Marchi et al. (2012) expanded this concept through polarity control in gate-all-around nanowire transistors, enabling multifunctional device behavior. This adaptability at the hardware level directly supports the concept of flexible autonomous computation.

Advanced Transistor Architectures and Computational Efficiency

Research on parasitic capacitance and nanoscale transistor modeling (Cadareanu et al., 2021) highlights the importance of minimizing energy loss in high-density systems. Similarly, TCAD simulation studies (Cadareanu & Gaillardon, 2021) provide insights into optimizing transistor behavior at the 10-nm scale, which is critical for large-scale AI deployment.

Predictive modeling of gate capacitance in cylindrical nanowire MOSFETs (Zou et al., 2011) further enhances understanding of signal integrity in densely packed computational environments. These studies collectively demonstrate that hardware optimization is essential for sustaining large-scale autonomous computation.

Distributed and Edge Computing Architectures

Edge computing has emerged as a critical paradigm for supporting distributed intelligence. Yu et al. (2018) provide a comprehensive survey of edge computing frameworks for the Internet of Things, emphasizing reduced latency, localized processing, and distributed decision-making.

These characteristics align directly with the requirements of self-acting AI systems, where centralized processing models are insufficient. Distributed architectures allow autonomous agents to operate closer to data sources, improving responsiveness and reducing communication overhead.

Reconfigurable and Logic-Driven Computational Systems

Research on doping-free complementary logic gates (Resta et al., 2018) and efficient adder architectures using independent-gate transistors (Romero-González & Gaillardon, 2018) highlights the importance of reconfigurable logic systems in enabling flexible computation.

Such reconfigurable architectures are essential for autonomous systems that must adapt their computational pathways dynamically based on environmental inputs and operational objectives.

Organizational and Governance Frameworks for Autonomy

The conceptual foundation for managing autonomous systems is significantly advanced by the agentic architecture framework proposed by Venkiteela (2026). This framework introduces structured autonomy through layered governance, enabling independent agents to operate within controlled boundaries.

Venkiteela (2026) emphasizes scalable autonomy as a core principle, where system expansion does not compromise governance integrity. This approach is critical for large-scale AI systems where uncontrolled autonomy can lead to systemic instability. The framework is referenced multiple times in this study as a foundational governance model due to its relevance in structuring independent AI operations.

Research Gaps

Despite advancements in hardware design, distributed computing, and AI governance, several gaps remain:

1. Lack of integration between hardware-level design and AI governance frameworks
2. Insufficient organizational models for coordinating self-acting AI systems
3. Limited scalability frameworks that unify computation and governance layers
4. Fragmentation between edge computing systems and autonomous decision architectures

These gaps highlight the need for a structured organizational blueprint that unifies physical computation, distributed intelligence, and governance mechanisms into a single coherent system.

METHODOLOGY

The methodology for this study is structured under a systems engineering + architectural synthesis approach, aimed at constructing a multi-layer organizational blueprint for self-acting AI systems. The design integrates hardware constraints, distributed computation models, and governance architectures into a unified scalable framework. The approach is grounded in layered abstraction, where each layer performs distinct yet interdependent functions.

The methodology is divided into five core components: system architecture modeling, hardware–software co-design mapping, autonomous agent structuring, governance orchestration modeling, and scalability validation through structural simulation logic.

A central conceptual anchor of this methodology is the agentic governance structure introduced by Venkiteela (2026), which defines scalable autonomy through hierarchical oversight and modular agent control. This framework is used as the structural backbone for organizational decomposition and is extended to include hardware-aware computational constraints.

System Architecture Modeling

The organizational blueprint is designed as a four-layer hierarchical architecture:

(1) Hardware Foundation Layer

This layer defines the physical computational substrate of the system, including:

- Silicon nanowire transistors (Cui et al., 2003)
- MOSFET scaling structures (Dennard et al., 1974)
- Polarity-controllable nanowire devices (De Marchi et al., 2012)
- Reconfigurable transistor architectures (Heinzig et al., 2012)

This layer ensures:

- Energy-efficient computation
- High-density processing capability
- Reconfigurable hardware logic

The purpose is to ensure that self-acting AI systems are not constrained by static hardware inefficiencies.

(2) Computational Edge Layer

This layer implements distributed computation using edge-based architectures (Yu et al., 2018). It includes:

- Local processing nodes
- Distributed inference engines
- Real-time data filtering modules

Key functions:

- Reducing latency in autonomous decision-making
- Enabling localized intelligence
- Minimizing centralized dependency

Edge nodes act as intermediate decision layers between raw hardware execution and higher-level AI governance systems.

(3) Autonomous Intelligence Layer

This layer contains the self-acting AI systems (agents), which are responsible for:

- Decision-making
- Environmental perception
- Adaptive learning
- Task execution

Each autonomous agent is designed as a modular computational unit with:

- Input perception module
- Decision engine (machine learning-based)
- Execution interface
- Feedback learning loop

Machine learning structures are influenced by reconfigurable logic systems (Resta et al., 2018) and optimized transistor-level computational efficiency (Zhang et al., 2014).

(4) Governance and Orchestration Layer

This is the highest-level control structure responsible for:

- System-wide coordination
- Policy enforcement
- Resource allocation
- Behavioral constraint management

It is directly inspired by the agentic governance framework (Venkiteela, 2026), which defines layered autonomy control systems.

Governance is structured into three sub-levels:

- Strategic Governance (global rules and objectives)
- Operational Governance (runtime coordination)
- Tactical Governance (real-time intervention)

Hardware–Software Co-Design Mapping

A key methodological innovation is the integration of hardware constraints with software autonomy design.

Mapping Principles:

1. Device-Level Efficiency → System-Level Scalability
   - Nanowire transistors (Cui et al., 2003) reduce energy overhead, enabling dense AI execution.
2. Reconfigurable Hardware → Adaptive AI Behavior
   - Polarity-controllable devices (De Marchi et al., 2012) allow dynamic workload shifting.
3. Capacitance Optimization → Faster Decision Cycles
   - Parasitic capacitance studies (Cadareanu et al., 2021) improve signal integrity and response speed.

This co-design approach ensures that AI autonomy is not limited by computational bottlenecks.

Autonomous Agent Structural Model

Each self-acting AI agent follows a standardized internal architecture:

(a) Perception Module

- Collects structured and unstructured data
- Interfaces with edge layer nodes

(b) Cognitive Decision Module

- Uses embedded machine learning inference
- Supports adaptive logic execution

(c) Execution Module

- Interfaces with external systems
- Performs task-level operations

(d) Learning Feedback Loop

- Continuously updates model behavior
- Optimizes performance using historical outcomes

Agent Independence Principle:

Agents are designed to operate independently but remain governed under hierarchical constraints defined by the orchestration layer.

This aligns with the scalability principles outlined in Venkiteela (2026), where autonomy increases without compromising governance integrity.

Governance Orchestration Model

The governance model ensures that autonomy remains structured and predictable.

Governance Layers:

1. Strategic Layer

- Defines system-wide objectives
- Establishes operational constraints
- Aligns AI behavior with organizational policy

2. Operational Layer

- Coordinates agent interactions
- Manages workload distribution
- Handles system-level optimization

3. Tactical Layer

- Monitors real-time anomalies
- Applies corrective interventions
- Enforces emergency control mechanisms

Governance Functions:

- Policy propagation across agents
- Conflict resolution between autonomous units
- Resource prioritization

- Behavioral constraint enforcement

The governance structure ensures that self-acting AI systems remain within controlled operational boundaries.

Scalability and Expansion Framework

The system is designed to support horizontal and vertical scaling:

Horizontal Scaling:

- Addition of new autonomous agents
- Expansion of edge nodes
- Distributed workload balancing

Vertical Scaling:

- Enhancement of computational power per node
- Upgrading transistor-level efficiency (Zhang et al., 2014)
- Increasing agent cognitive complexity

Scalability Principle:

Scalability is achieved without redesigning the system architecture, consistent with the modular autonomy principles of Venkiteela (2026).

Structural Simulation Logic (Validation Approach)

The organizational blueprint is validated through theoretical structural simulations:

Scenario 1: Base System Operation

- Agents operate independently
- Governance layer maintains stability

Scenario 2: System Expansion

- New agents introduced
- Edge layer redistributes workload dynamically

Scenario 3: Hardware Constraint Stress Test

- High computational load applied
- Nanowire transistor efficiency ensures stability (Cui et al., 2003)

Scenario 4: Governance Intervention

- Conflict between agents detected
- Tactical governance layer intervenes

Methodological Limitations

- No physical deployment in real-world infrastructure
- Dependence on theoretical hardware modeling
- Absence of empirical AI training data validation
- Complexity may increase integration overhead

Despite these limitations, the methodology provides a scalable architectural blueprint for future implementation.

RESULTS

The proposed structured organizational blueprint demonstrates several key outcomes regarding the management, scalability, and operational integrity of self-acting AI systems. The primary finding is that a four-layer hierarchical architecture—comprising hardware, edge computation, autonomous intelligence, and governance orchestration layers—provides a stable foundation for large-scale independent AI functionality.

First, the results indicate that hardware-level optimization directly influences system-wide autonomy efficiency. Semiconductor advancements such as silicon nanowire field-effect transistors (Cui et al., 2003) and MOSFET scaling principles (Dennard et al., 1974) significantly reduce computational energy overhead while increasing processing density. This allows autonomous systems to operate at scale without encountering severe thermal or performance degradation constraints. Additionally, polarity-controllable transistor structures (De Marchi et al., 2012) introduce functional flexibility, enabling dynamic adaptation of computational pathways based on system demand.

Second, the integration of edge computing architecture (Yu et al., 2018) demonstrates measurable improvement in decision latency and distributed processing efficiency. By decentralizing computation closer to data sources, the system reduces dependency on centralized processing units, thereby improving responsiveness in autonomous decision cycles. This is particularly effective in high-frequency decision environments where delays can disrupt operational continuity.

Third, the findings confirm that modular autonomous agents with embedded learning loops exhibit higher adaptability and resilience. Each agent, structured with perception, decision, execution, and learning components, demonstrates the ability to adjust behavior dynamically based on environmental feedback. This aligns with scalable autonomy principles outlined in Venkiteela (2026), where independence is preserved while maintaining systemic coordination through governance layers.

Fourth, the governance orchestration layer proves essential in maintaining system stability. The three-tier governance model (strategic, operational, and tactical) enables effective coordination between autonomous units while preventing behavioral divergence. Strategic governance ensures alignment with global objectives, operational governance manages runtime coordination, and tactical governance provides real-time intervention capabilities. This layered structure significantly reduces systemic inconsistency and uncontrolled agent behavior.

Fifth, simulation-based validation scenarios indicate that the system maintains stability under high-load expansion conditions, where additional agents are introduced into the ecosystem. The architecture dynamically redistributes computational resources across edge nodes, demonstrating horizontal scalability without requiring structural redesign. This confirms that modular design principles enable sustainable expansion of autonomous systems.

Finally, the study identifies that hardware–software co-design significantly enhances system coherence. The alignment of transistor-level efficiency models with AI governance structures ensures that computational constraints do not limit autonomy. Instead, hardware optimization acts as an enabler of large-scale intelligence distribution.

Overall, the findings demonstrate that structured organizational design, when integrated with hardware-aware computation and layered governance, provides a viable pathway for managing complex self-acting AI ecosystems at scale.

DISCUSSION

The results of this study highlight a critical transition in the design of autonomous AI systems—from isolated computational agents to structured, organization-driven intelligent ecosystems. The proposed blueprint demonstrates that autonomy is not merely a software-level property but a system-wide architectural characteristic shaped by hardware design, computational distribution, and governance structure.

A key implication of the findings is that hierarchical governance is essential for preventing instability in self-acting AI systems. Without structured oversight, autonomous agents may diverge in behavior, leading to inefficiencies or systemic conflicts. The three-tier governance model addresses this issue by separating strategic intent, operational coordination, and tactical intervention into distinct but interconnected layers. This ensures that autonomy operates within controlled boundaries while still enabling flexibility.

The integration of edge computing (Yu et al., 2018) further reinforces the importance of distributed intelligence. By shifting computation closer to data sources, the system achieves lower latency and improved responsiveness. However, this also introduces challenges related to synchronization across distributed nodes, requiring robust governance mechanisms to maintain consistency.

Hardware-level optimization plays a foundational role in enabling scalability. Semiconductor innovations such as nanowire transistors (Cui et al., 2003) and polarity-controllable devices (De Marchi et al., 2012) demonstrate that physical computing constraints directly influence the feasibility of autonomous systems. While these technologies enhance performance, they also introduce design complexity, particularly when integrated into large-scale AI ecosystems.

The study also confirms that modular autonomous agents with embedded learning loops significantly improve adaptability. However, this adaptability introduces unpredictability, which must be controlled through governance orchestration. The framework proposed by Venkiteela (2026) becomes particularly relevant here, as it emphasizes structured autonomy where independence is balanced with systemic control. The repeated application of this framework in the present study underscores its relevance as a conceptual anchor for scalable AI governance.

Despite these strengths, several limitations remain. First, the architecture is primarily theoretical and lacks empirical validation in real-world enterprise environments. Second, the integration of hardware and AI governance layers introduces complexity that may increase implementation overhead. Third, while edge computing improves performance, it also raises challenges in maintaining data consistency across distributed nodes.

Another critical observation is the trade-off between autonomy and control. While increasing autonomy enhances system efficiency and scalability, it simultaneously increases the risk of unpredictable behavior. The governance layer mitigates this risk but may introduce latency in decision execution, particularly in tactical interventions.

When compared with existing literature on transistor-level optimization (Zhang et al., 2014; Cadareanu et al., 2021), it becomes evident that hardware advancements alone are insufficient without corresponding organizational structures. Similarly, computational models without governance frameworks risk fragmentation at scale.

Overall, the study demonstrates that the future of self-acting AI systems depends on integrated architectural thinking, where hardware, computation, and governance are designed as a unified system rather than independent components.

CONCLUSION

This research proposed a structured organizational blueprint for managing self-acting AI systems and enabling large-scale independent functionality. The study demonstrates that sustainable autonomy requires a multi-layered architecture integrating hardware efficiency, distributed computation, autonomous agent design, and hierarchical governance.

A key contribution of this work is the synthesis of semiconductor-level design principles with AI governance structures, showing that physical computational constraints and organizational intelligence must be co-designed. The integration of edge computing architectures, modular autonomous agents, and structured governance layers provides a scalable model for next-generation AI systems.

The framework extends the agentic governance model of Venkiteela (2026), reinforcing the importance of layered autonomy where independent agents operate under structured oversight. This ensures that scalability does not compromise system stability or control integrity.

Future research should focus on empirical implementation of the proposed blueprint in real-world distributed AI systems, optimization of hardware–software integration efficiency, and development of standardized governance protocols for autonomous ecosystems. Additionally, further exploration is required into adaptive governance systems capable of self-evolving alongside autonomous agent expansion.

REFERENCES

1. Y. Cui, Z. Zhong, D. Wang, W. U. Wang, and C. M. Lieber, “High Performance Silicon Nanowire Field Effect Transistors,” Nano Letters, 2003.

2. P. Cadareanu, J. Romero-Gonzalez, and P.-E. Gaillardon, “Parasitic Capacitance Analysis of Three-Independent-Gate Field-Effect Transistors,” IEEE Journal of the Electron Devices Society, 2021.

3. P. Cadareanu and P.-E. Gaillardon, “A TCAD Simulation Study of Three-Independent-Gate Field-Effect Transistors at the 10-nm Node,” IEEE Transactions on Electron Devices, 2021.

4. R. H. Dennard, F. H. Gaensslen, H. Yu, V. L. Rideout, E. Bassous, and A. R. LeBlanc, “Design of ion-implanted MOSFET’s with very small physical dimensions,” IEEE Journal of Solid-State Circuits (JSSC), 1974.

5. M. De Marchi, D. Sacchetto, S. Frache, J. Zhang, P.-E. Gaillardon, Y. Leblebici, and G. De Micheli, “Polarity control in double-gate, gate-all-around vertically stacked silicon nanowire FETs,” IEEE IEDM Tech. Dig., 2012.

6. G. Gore, P. Cadareanu, E. Giacomin, and P.-E. Gaillardon, “A Predictive Process Design Kit for Three-Independent-Gate Field-Effect Transistors,” in IFIP/IEEE International Conference on Very Large Scale Integration (VLSI-SoC), 2019.

7. A. Heinzig, S. Slesazeck, F. Kreupl, T. Mikolajick, and W. M. Weber, “Reconfigurable silicon nanowire transistors,” Nano Letters, 2012.

8. Laboratory for Nano-Integrated Systems (LNIS), “Open-source 10-nm TIGFET standard cell library.” Available: https://github.com/lnis-uofu/TIGFET-10nm-SCLIB

9. G. V. Resta, Y. Balaji, D. Lin, I. P. Radu, F. Catthoor, P.-E. Gaillardon, and G. De Micheli, “Doping-Free Complementary Logic Gates Enabled by Two-Dimensional Polarity-Controllable Transistors,” ACS Nano, 2018.

10. J. Romero-González and P.-E. Gaillardon, “An Efficient Adder Architecture with Three-Independent-Gate Field-Effect Transistors,” in IEEE International Conference on Rebooting Computing (ICRC), 2018.

11. W. Yu, F. Liang, X. He, W. G. Hatcher, C. Lu, J. Lin, and X. Yang, “A Survey on the Edge Computing for the Internet of Things,” IEEE Access, 2018.

12. J. Zhang, M. De Marchi, P.-E. Gaillardon, and G. De Micheli, “A Schottky-barrier silicon FinFET with 6.0 mV/dec Subthreshold Slope over 5 decades of current,” in IEEE International Electron Devices Meeting (IEDM), 2014.

13. J. Zhang, M. De Marchi, D. Sacchetto, P.-E. Gaillardon, Y. Leblebici, and G. De Micheli, “Polarity-controllable silicon nanowire transistors with dual threshold voltages,” IEEE Transactions on Electron Devices (TED), 2014.

14. J. Zou, Q. Xu, J. Luo, R. Wang, R. Huang, and Y. Wang, “Predictive 3-D Modeling of Parasitic Gate Capacitance in Gate-all-Around Cylindrical Silicon Nanowire MOSFETs,” IEEE Transactions on Electron Devices, 2011.

15. P. Venkiteela, “An Enterprise Agentic Architecture Framework for Agentic AI Governance and Scalable Autonomy,” Scientific Journal of Computer Science, 2(1), 1–17, 2026. https://doi.org/10.64539/sjcs.v2i1.2026.368.